MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI: I second it.

The motion was put to vote and carried.

SECRETARY: The title of the Bill shall be the Travancore Registration Act Amendment Bill.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: I request you Sir, to suspend the standing order and permit me to move that the Bill be taken into consideration.

MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI: I second it.

The motion was put to vote and carried.

**Clauses 1 to 3.**

The question that clauses 1 to 3 do form part of the Bill was put to vote and carried.

The clauses were added to the Bill.

**Preamble.**

The question that the preamble do form part of the Bill was put to vote and carried.

The preamble was added to the Bill.

**Title.**

The question that the title do form part of the Bill was put to vote and carried.

The title was added to the Bill.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: Sir, I now move that the Bill be pessed. But before doing that, I beg to move the following amendment.

"In sub-clause (1) of clause 1 add the figure "5" after the fiigure "111".

MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI: I second it.

The amendment was put to vote and carried.

The question that the Bill be passed was put to vote and carried.

## THE TRAVANCORE PATENTS AND DESIGNS BILL.

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: I rise to introduce the Travancore Patent and Designs Bill. We have already an Act relating to it. So the question may be asked why we should have a new Act on the lines proposed in this Bill. The reason is very simple and it is explained in the Statement of Objects and Reasons attached to the Bill. Our Act is Act X of 1090 and it was based on the British Indian Act II of 1911. Since then no attempt was made to keep the law up to date. It is now found that we are far behind the times. Protection has been given to Patents registered in this State, but a Patient so registered should get protection in British India and other States like Cochin, Mysore and Hyderabad. If that is to be obtained we have to give reciprocal protection to the Patents registered in those countries also. To acheive this our law should correspond to the law prevailing in those countries. The British Indian Act on which our Act is based has

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

undergone several changes. An Amendment Bill is very cumbersome. Hence the Bill in this form.

I move that the Bill be read in the Assembly.

**MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI:** I socond it.

The motion was put to vote and carried.

**SECRETARY:** The title of the Bill shall be "The Travancore Patents and Designs Bill".

**MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI:** I move that the Travancore Patents and Designs Bill be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following members :—

Messrs.
1. S. Chattanatha Karayalar,
2. C. Jabamony Nadar,
3. K. C. Karunakaran,
4. Kannanthodathu Janardhanan Nair,
5. T. T. Kesavan Sastri,
6. Mathai Chemparathy,
7. R. Narayanan Namboothiri,
8. K. R. Narayanan,
9. N. V. Joseph,
10. P. Narayana Pillai, and
11. Sry. T. Narayani Amma.

The Select Committee to report within three months.

**MR. M. K. GOPALA PILLAI:** I second it.

The motion was put to vote and carried.

## THE TRAVANCORE HINDU RELIGIOUS ENDOWMENTS ACT AMENDMENT BILL.

**MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI:** I beg to introduce the Travancore Hindu Religious Endowments Act Amendment Bill. The existing Act is found to be defective in various respects It is proposed to add a sub-clause (*e*) to clause 3. It is stated that (*a*) for the words "In the cases of institutions not falling under Clause (1)" the following shall be substituted namely :—

"Notwithstanding anything contained in Clause (1)", and

(*b*) for the words "over them," the words "over any institution to which this Act applies".

It is found that the existing Act does not contain adequate provision for dealing with all cases of maladministration. It applies to certain restricted classes of trustees and only in the event of limited contingencies. Even where there is proved abuse of the privileges of a trustee, Government find it difficult to interfere on account of a small omission in the enabling section. This clause proposes to remove it and hence the amendment to clause 3.

Under Section 6, any officer not below the rank of a Peishkar is said to be the person who is to be nominated for the purpose. It is not always possible to nominate a Division Peishkar for the purpose of making the enquiry, and therefore what is being done is to invest a

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly